

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,402	12/22/2005	Christine Margarete Unger	MXI-352US	6754
959 10/28/2009 LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP FLOOR 30, SUITE 3000			EXAMINER	
			GUCKER, STEPHEN	
BOSTON, MA	FFICE SQUARE A 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1649	
				I
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/539,402	UNGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STEPHEN GUCKER	1649		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2009.
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)⊠	Claim(s) 23-31 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 31 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 - 6) Claim(s) 23-30 is/are rejected.
 - 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/96/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/05

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patert Application
- 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/539,402 Page 2

Art Unit: 1649

Response to Amendment

 Applicant's election without traverse of Invention 13 (claims 3 and 4 corresponding to SEQ ID NO:15) in the reply filed on 8/18/09 is acknowledged. Previously pending claims 1-22 have been canceled, and new claims 23-31 have been added.

Claim 31 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being
drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election
was made without traverse in the reply filed on 8/18/09.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process

invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the

Art Unit: 1649

804.01.

right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP §

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forthir the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for isolated neuropilin binders (NPBs) which are antibodies, fragments or bioconjugates thereof that bind to neuropilin-1 (NP-1) without inhibiting the VEGF165/neuropilin-1 interaction, does not reasonably provide enablement for NPBs that bind to neuropilin-1 (NP-1) without inhibiting the VEGF/neuropilin-1 interaction. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Enablement is considered in view of the Wands factors (MPEP 2164.01(A)). These include: nature of the invention, breadth of the claims, guidance of the specification, the existence of working examples, state of the art, predictability of the art and the amount of experimentation necessary. All of the Wands factors have been considered with regard to the instant claims, with the most relevant factors discussed below.

Nature of the invention: The claims are drawn to an isolated NPB that binds to NP-1 without inhibiting the VEGF/neuropilin-1 interaction. The nature of the invention is complex in that the antibodies must bind to NP-1 yet not inhibit the VEGF/neuropilin-1 interaction which would normally be expected to be affected by the binding of an antibody to NP-1. The complexity is further increased because the ability to predict the structure of proteins based on their amino acid sequence is not well understood. See pages 4-6 of Rudinger.

Breadth of the claims: The claims are overly broad in that they encompass isoforms of VEGF which do not interact with neuropilin-1.

Guidance of the specification and existence of working examples: The specification is drawn to only the interaction of isoform VEGF165 with NP-1, including the working example.

Predictability and state of the art: The state of the art teaches away from other isoforms of VEGF interacting with NP-1 in the manner of the instant invention. For example, see Matthies et al. (from IDS filed 12/22/05) at pages 294-295 where it is disclosed that NP-1 binds only to human VEGF165 and not, for example, VEGF120. It is entirely unpredictable how the artisan could make and use the invention that binds to NP-1 and then affect the interaction with VEGF120 instead of VEGF165.

Amount of experimentation necessary: Given the lack of guidance provided by the specification and prior art with regard to the instant claims, the quantity of experimentation in these areas is very large with no routine expectation of success.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,402 Page 5

Art Unit: 1649

7. Claims 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The metes and bounds of "VEGF/NP-1 interaction" are indefinite because it is known in the art that VEGF165 and NP-1 can bind together and either produce or not produce a physiological effect depending on the circumstances and the system used. Therefore, it is not known if the mere act of binding of VEGF165 and NP-1 is considered a sufficient interaction to read on the claims, or if binding is excluded from the term "interaction", but further signal transduction is encompassed.

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 9. Claims 23 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Soker et al. Soker et al. teach an antibody that binds to NP-1 but still allows NP-1 and VEGF165 to bind to each other (abstract, Figure 1, and pages 360-362 and 365. See page 358 for anti-NP-1 antibody compositions available from Santa Cruz Biotechnology).
- No claim is allowed.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0883. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 0930 to 1800.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker, can be reached at 571-272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/539,402 Page 6

Art Unit: 1649

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/S. G./

Examiner, Art Unit 1649

Stephen Gucker

October 28, 2009

/Jeffrey Stucker/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1649